

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/974,956	10/11/2001	Masaru Hirata	14990	7595	
23389	7590 07/20/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
SCULLY SCOTT MURPHY & PRESSER, PC			BOCURE, T	BOCURE, TESFALDET	
400 GARDE. SUITE 300	N CITY PLAZA		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
GARDEN CITY, NY 11530			2611		
			DATE MAILED: 07/20/2000	DATE MAILED: 07/20/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/974,956	HIRATA, MASARU	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Tesfaldet Bocure	2611	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the	cover sheet with the	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 03 April 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION	IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C time periods:	day as filing a Notice of :: (1) an amendment, aft eal (with appeal fee) in	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final is b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CI TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	on, or (2) the date set forth (MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened state forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three remay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	the corresponding amount atutory period for reply orig	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension there a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the AMENDMENTS 	of (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to	the data of filing a brief	will not be entered b	0001150
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);			ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal; and/or	appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a correspond		ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.3			(DTOL 224)
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached the second of the	ached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment ((PTOL-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if s non-allowable claim(s). 	ubmitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		II be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was re-	II rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the sta REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	tus of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT	place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08	3 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
13.		Tesfaldet Bocore Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3611	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20060719

Application No. 09/974,956

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly added limitation, "tracking range in each of said plularity of finger sections being variable determined based on the respective distances between peak positions of said plurality of radio waves, said oath tracking range being broadened when said distances are large, and said oath tracking range being narrowed when said distances are small, and said path timing range being independently determined for each of said plurality of finger section," in the independent claims 1 and 5 requires further search and consideration..